

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/698,136	KEARL, DANIEL A.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tracy Dove	1745	

All Participants:

(1) Tracy Dove.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Julia Dierker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No rejections of record.

Claims discussed:

claims 42-80

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner telephoned Applicant to propose an Examiner's Amendment to correct formal matters regarding the claimed invention. The proposed changes overcome 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd, issues. Applicant agreed to the changes proposed by the Examiner.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)